

ANA DUMITRAN, **Icon as intermediary of miracle and expression of confessional identity.**

Case study: *Miraculosa Beatissimae Virginis Maria Claudiopolitana*

Abstract: Although icon – as cult object – is a specific reality for eastern Christianity, the miraculous image dominates the whole Christian world, and its systematic promotion is a characteristic of Occidental Christianity, of Catholicism in fact, which, by the Trento Council, although delimited very clearly and restrictively reference to artistic representations, it still made full use of them in the recuperation of the lost status in front of Protestantism. This way of (re)conversion was followed mainly in historical Hungary, as the Habsburg armies recuperated territories occupied by the Turks, and the miraculous icon of the Theotokos from Cluj harmonizes admirably with the re-Catholicization phenomenon, next to images from Mariapócs, Tyrnavia and Győr – to remember only those more often circulated by the propaganda, especially the Jesuit one.

Icon from Cluj worth paying special attention not only due to this instrumentalisation, but especially because of its Romanian and orthodox antecedents and duplication it suffered after acknowledging authenticity of miracle of shedding tears occurred in Nicula at the end of the 17th century. Due to this duplication, the worshipping of the icon developed in parallel to Romanians (Greek-Catholics and the Orthodox as well) and Catholics (Hungarians especially, but also Germans). It is very interesting initial perception of the environment in which the miracle happened and the manner by which it will developed, noticeable in relation with other similar phenomena occurred throughout the 18th century. If in 1699 was considered or hoped at least for union of Romanians with the Church of Rome, these proved useful also for providing the object from which was expected and obtained an important number of conversions to Catholicism amongst the Hungarians. Subsequently, the Divinity was refused the right of making miracles in the churches of “schismatics”, evidence that not only rationalism intervened in judging weeping of icons. Also by the installing itself of the icon in Cluj, the icon became a symbol of Catholicism, mainly of the Hungarian one, getting to believe that the tears of the Virgin were shed so that the Hungarians to return to real faith, being forgotten that the miracle occurred in a church of Romanians, and that it would have been normally to regard them too.

We assist, thus, throughout the whole 18th century and the first decades of the following one to development of two trends of the Marianic cult concerning this relic: one very extensively propagated through the media, in which the Virgin, as *Patrona Hungariae*, assumes the similitude with the icon from Cluj, the other one is hardly noticeable documentary, yet tenacious in its traditional forms of expression, which will assure a much longer survival. I don't believe we can speak of a competition between them, because, in the official speech, they ignored reciprocally. Yet, secondly, the great number of copies spread both in the Catholic churches and those of the Romanians, both of the Orthodox and the Greek-Catholics, preserved alive both image of the icon and recall of the miracle of weeping. For this reality, the Romanians owe a lot to the Jesuits and subsequently, to piety of the whole Catholic community from Transylvania, while the numerous miracles performed by the icon from Cluj were invoked in continuation of the miracle occurred in a church of the Romanians. The Theotokos from Nicula made such miracles for the Hungarians and the Virgin from Cluj, through engravings ordered by the Jesuits, which became models for the Romanian painters,

embellished churches of the Romanians, there resulting a supraethnic and supraconfessional symbiosis which almost has no other model to compete with in the history of Transylvania. Out of the miracles performed by the two icons, this is maybe the most important, as it built bridges and brought communion there where history of last centuries dug ditches and stirred hatred. Yet it is also the most discrete miracle, most difficult observable, and, as such, not recorded by official histories of the two relics. Before it, we are today just like those who were asked to acknowledge whether the icons had really wept or not. Similarly as them, remains to identify what answer would advantage us mostly.

Keywords: Mother of God, Nicula, Jesuits, copperplate, religious propaganda.